Differential Histone Modification Status of Spermatozoa in Relation to Fertility of Buffalo Bulls

Arpana Verma,¹ Sandeep Rajput,² Sandeep Kumar,¹ Sachinandan De,¹ Atish Kumar Chakravarty,³ Rakesh Kumar,¹ and Tirtha Kumar Datta^{1*}

¹Animal Genomics Lab, Animal Biotechnology Centre, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal 132001, Haryana, India

²Department of Animal Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824-1225, USA

³Artificial Breeding Research Centre, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana, India

ABSTRACT

In this study genome-wide di-methylated H3K4 (H3K4me2) and tri-methylated H3K27 (H3K27me3) modification profiles were analyzed in spermatozoa of buffalo bulls having wide fertility differences. The custom designed 4 × 180 K buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) ChIP-on-chip array was fabricated by employing array-based sequential hybridization using bovine and buffalo genomic DNA for comparative hybridization. The buffalo specific array developed had 177,440 features assembled from Coding sequences, Promoter and CpG regions comprising 2967 unique genes. A total of 84 genes for H3K4me2 and 80 genes for H3K27me3 were found differentially enriched in mature sperm of high and sub-fertile buffalo bulls. Gene Ontology analysis of these genes revealed their association with different cellular functions and biological processes. Genes identified as differentially enriched between high and sub-fertile bulls were found to be involved in the processes of germ cell development, spermatogenesis and embryonic development. This study presents the first genome-wide H3K4me2 and H3K27me3 profiling of buffalo bull sperm. Results provide a list of specific genes which could be made responsible for differential bull fertility. J. Cell. Biochem. 116: 743–753, 2015. © 2014 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

KEY WORDS: BUFFALO; SPERM; EPIGENETIC; HISTONE; FERTILITY

A sperm carries haploid paternal genome to the oocyte on fertilization where the quality of DNA it carries play determining role for successful fertilization, orderly embryonic cleavage and successful implantation of embryos [Spano et al., 2000; Miller et al., 2005; Peddinti et al., 2008; Feugang et al., 2009, 2010]. Male factor infertility is considered as one of the primary concerns for implementing any planned animal improvement strategy [Mahmoud and Nawito, 2012]. Several genetic and epigenetic factors like aneuploidy, Y chromosome microdeletion, altered DNA methylation, chromatin modifications and others [Miller and Ostermeier, 2006; Ferlin et al., 2007; Krausz and Giachini, 2007; Miller et al., 2010] have been described as responsible elements in causing male infertility, however, the molecular mechanisms involved remains largely obscure. In the course of development, most of the epigenetic factors are modulated during the process of

gamete formation [Reik et al., 2001; Carrell and Hammoud, 2010]. During spermatogenesis, the genome undergoes major changes including replacement of nucleosomal histones with arginine rich small basic proteins, protamine, and global remodeling of its epigenetic markings affecting elongation and compaction of sperm nucleus. The histone to protamine replacement causes greater than tenfold compaction of sperm chromatin which is required for effective delivery of the paternal genome to the oocytes [Balhorn et al., 2000; Miller et al., 2010]. Replacement of histone by protamine is however, not a complete process and it has been observed that 1% of the total DNA in mouse and about 15% in human still remains nucleosome bound [Tanphaichitr et al., 1978; Gatewood et al., 1990; Bench et al., 1996]. The rarely retained nucleosomes in sperm consist of either canonical or histone variant protein like H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K27me3 etc., including a testes-specific histone H2B

Animal Ethics Statement: There were no invasive procedures involved in performing the experiments. Conflict of interest: The authors certify that there is nothing to disclose. Grant sponsor: Indian Council of Agricultural Research.. *Correspondence to: Tirtha Kumar Datta, PhD, Animal Genomics Lab, Animal Biotechnology Centre, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal132001, Haryana, India. E-mail: tkd@ndri.res.in,tirthadatta@gmail.com Manuscript Received: 13 June 2014; Manuscript Accepted: 4 December 2014 Accepted manuscript online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com): 10 December 2014 DOI 10.1002/jcb.25029 • © 2014 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.



(TH2B) [Kimmins and Sassone-Corsi, 2005] which are subjected to various modifications, including methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and ribosylation to facilitate chromatin access in a time and space specific manner [Bannister and Kouzarides, 2011; Petty and Pillus, 2013]. Functionally, these modifications facilitate the protein-DNA and protein-protein interactions and regulate the development process through modifying the interaction of transcriptional regulators with chromatin [Strahl and Allis, 2000; Lee et al., 2010]. Further, it has been evidenced that the retained histories and its modified forms in sperm genome are significantly enriched at loci of developmental importance, including imprinted gene clusters, microRNA clusters and the promoters of developmentally important genes [Hammoud et al., 2009]. Also, these retained histories in sperm genome have been often found bivalently modified with both activating and silencing marks similar to stem cells [Bernstein et al., 2006; Brykczynska et al., 2010] which imparts special capability to sperm genome to play regulatory roles in the developing embryos [Hammoud et al., 2009; Brykczynska et al., 2010].

In the present study, we hypothesized that a genome wide profile of H3K4me2 and H3K27me3 histone modification patterns in buffalo sperm will be helpful to understand the reason behind observed variations in fertility of breeding bulls and eventual discovery of molecular biomarkers for male fertility.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), unless otherwise stated.

CLASSIFICATION OF BULLS IN HF AND SF GROUPS BASED ON THEIR CR RECORD AND IVF DATA

Ten bulls, five each in high-fertile (HF) and sub-fertile (SF) groups out of thirty eight bulls considered in this study were selected on the basis of conception rate (CR) and in vitro fertilization (IVF) data. These 38 bulls were used under multi herd progeny testing program in India. All bulls were reared under uniform feeding and management schedule and were inducted into progeny testing programme after evaluation of their breeding soundness, semen quality and freezability parameters. Frozen semen samples (0.25 ml straws) from these bulls were assigned randomly for breeding buffalo cows in the buffalo herds maintained with uniform feeding and management schedule. All these 38 bulls were having more than 50 insemination records over a period of 12 years (2000–2011). The SF bulls had a CR in the range of 26-36% whereas the CR of HF bulls were in the range of 54-58%. The cryopreserved semen samples of the identified bulls spread over random ejaculates were obtained from respective frozen semen stations. IVF was done using optimized in vitro oocytes maturation (IVM) and in vitro fertilization (IVF) procedure [Verma et al., 2012] with the difference that in vitro culture (IVC) of embryos was carried out in modified Charles Rosenkrans 2 amino acid (mCR2aa) based in vitro culture medium supplemented with 1% (v/v) minimal essential media (MEM) non-essential amino acids, 2% (v/v) MEM essential amino acids, 0.14 mg/ml L-glutamine, 1.5 mM glucose, 0.36 mg/ml sodium lactate, 0.036 mg/ml sodium pyruvate, 0.8% (w/v) BSA and

 $50 \mu g/ml$ gentamicin. IVC medium was replaced after 72 h of culture with replacement medium (IVC medium with 10% [v/v] FBS). A second media change of replacement medium was done on the 5th day of culture. Embryos were cultured up to 7 days, and different cleavage stages (2, 4, 8, 16, morula and blastocyst) were recorded at 36, 48, 60, 84, 120, and 168 h post-insemination, respectively. All experiments were repeated four times.

DESIGNING OF BUFFALO SPECIFIC CHIP-ON-CHIP ARRAY

Since the fully annotated genome sequence is not available for buffalo, we employed the microarray based comparative genome hybridization (aCGH) principle to develop a buffalo specific ChIPon-Chip array. The array was designed in two stages. In the first stage, a 1 M bovine array was designed using bovine genome (Build bosTau4) sequence data retrieved from UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu). This 1 M bovine array comprised of 60mer oligonucleotide probes designed from the coding sequences (CDS), promoters, CpG rich regions and other genomic regions of bovine genome. The criteria for designing the probes were: GC% (35-55), melting temperature (65-90°C), devoid of palindromes, repeat regions and mono nucleotide repeats using Genotypic_Probe_-Parser.pl (Genotypic Technology, Bangalore, India). Thus, the designed bovine 1 M array (AMADID-034986) consisted of 971,042 probes and 2974 Agilent control spots totaling to 974,016 probes (Table I). Bovine and Bubaline genomic DNA samples labeled respectively with Cy3 and Cy5 were hybridized to this array using aCGH protocol recommended by Agilent technologies. The probes which hybridized equally well with bovine and buffalo genomic DNA samples and exhibited significantly higher signal intensity (P < 0.05), at least twofold higher as compared to background were selected for further buffalo specific ChIP-on-Chip array design. Based on this criteria, a total of 177,440 probes from CDS, promoter and CpG rich regions representing 2967 unique genes were selected from 1 M bovine array to design the buffalo specific ChIP-on-Chip array in 4×180 -K format (AMADID: 040096).

CHROMATIN IMMUNOPRECIPITATION ASSAY

Before going for chromatin immunoprecipitation the semen samples $(6-7 \times 10^7 \text{ sperm})$ were treated with a hypotonic buffer; somatic cell lysis buffer containing 0.5% (v/v) Triton-X and 0.1% (w/v) SDS [Ostermeier et al., 2002; Goodrich et al., 2007] for 10 min at 4°C. Absence of contaminating somatic cells was confirmed by visual inspection of each sample under ×400 magnification using an

TABLE I. Composition-Summary of 1 M Bovine Array and 180 kBuffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) ChIP-on-Chip Array

Target Region	Avg tiling (bp)	Total no. of probes designed (1M array)	No. of probes on 180 K array	No. of genes	No. of unique genes
CDS	20	262077	3310	1568	2967
CPG	4	242761	160708	0	
Promoter	45	242760	13422	1754	
Other regions	150	223444	0	0	

Olympus BX51 microscope (Olympus America, Center Valley, PA) (Fig. 1a) and amplifying *CD4* and *CD45* genes from the somatic cell lysed sperm RNA preparation. The negative amplification of these genes confirmed somatic cell contamination free RNA preparation while positive amplification of *PRM1* confirmed the presence of sperm RNA (Fig. 1b). Primer sequences for amplification of *PRM1*, *CD4*, and *CD45* are given in Table II. Subsequently, the somatic cell lysed sperm cells were treated with 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) along with 0.5% (w/v) SDS in sperm lysis buffer at 37°C for 3 h. Following sperm cell lysis, nuclear preparation was done using Magna ChIP (Magna ChIP A/G immunoprecipitation kit, Cat no. 17–610). Next, the chromatin was sheared using 100 gel units of micrococcal

nuclease (NEB, Cat no. MO247S) to get mono or di-nucleosomes. A fraction (~5%) of sheared chromatin preparations was preserved as whole cell extract (WCE) and the remaining part was immunoprecipitated (IP) using antibody against H3K4me2 and H3K27me3 obtained from Millipore (Cat no. 17–677 and 17–622) as per the Magna ChIP protocol. Following immunoprecipitation, protein/DNA complexes were reverse cross linked and purified. Purity of Immunoprecipitated DNA (IP) and WCE was assessed by the NanoDrop ND-1000 UV–Vis Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop technologies, Rockland). The fragmentation pattern of the WCE DNA was assessed by Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100. A fragmentation range of 200–800 bp was considered as optimal.





TABLE II. Primer Sequences for qPCR Validation of Sperm RNA Preparation Free of Contaminating Somatic Cell RNA and Transcript Verification in Sperm RNA

Gene name	Forward sequence	Reverse sequence	Product length	Tm(°)
PRM1	5'AGATGTCGCAGACGAAGGAG3'	5'AGTGCGGTGGTCTTGCTACT3'	119	60
CD4	5'GAGCCTGACCTTGACTTTGG3'	5'TGACAGGCTCTTGACGTCTC3'	100	60
CD45	5'AGCCCATTC ATGCAGATGTT3'	5'CTGAATACCCGTGGAATGCT3	103	60
GAPDH	5'GCCGTAACTTCTGTGCTGTG3'	5'AATTAAAAGCAGCCCTGGTG3'	109	59
PRDM14	5'TTCAGGGTAAAGTGGTCAAC 3'	5'CACCTCCTTTTCCATCAATA3'	114	55
SOX4	5'CAAAGATAGCGACAAGATCC 3'	5'CTTGTAGTCGGGGTAGTCAG3'	82	55
TBX15	5'GATGAGACAGGTGGTCAGTT 3'	5'TACTCGAGGCTGGTATTTGT3'	106	54.9
C-MYC	5'GAGAAACCTAATAGTGCTTTGG 3'	5'GAAGCAGCTCTATTTCTGGA3'	82	55
PRM2	5'CGCTACCACTACAGACACAG 3'	5'TCCTCCTCCTCATCCTTC3'	115	55

LIGATION MEDIATED AMPLIFICATION OF WCE AND IP DNA

Agilent ChIP-on-Chip protocol version 10.2 was used to perform this experiment. WCE (250 ng) and IP DNA were subjected to blunting by T4 DNA polymerase at 12°C for 20 min. Post blunting reaction, samples were purified using Phenol/Chloroform/isoamyl alcohol followed by ethanol precipitation. End repaired IP and WCE DNA were ligated with linkers at 16°C for 16 h (Oligos used for preparation of linkers were: 5′-GCGGTGAACCCGGGAGATCTGAATTC-3′; 5′-GAATTCAGATC-3′). The ligated DNA samples were precipitated using ethanol suspended in 25 μ l of nuclease free water. The linker ligated IP and WCE samples were subjected to two rounds of amplification, LMPCR 1 (15 cycles) and LMPCR 2 (24 cycles). PCR amplified DNA samples were cleaned by salt precipitation and suspended in 25 μ l of nuclease free water.

LABELING OF DNA AND HYBRIDIZATION TO MICROARRAYS

Two micrograms each of IP and WCE DNA were labeled using Agilent genomic DNA labeling kit with Cy3 and Cy5 dUTP (5190–0453), respectively. DNA yield and incorporation of labeled dNTPs (specific activity) was measured using NanoDrop spectrophotometer. 3.5 μ g of both Cy3 labeled WCE DNA and Cy5 labeled IP samples were hybridized on the custom designed buffalo specific 4 \times 180 K ChIP-on-Chip array (Genotypic, Bangalore, India AMADID: 040096). Hybridizations were done using the Agilent hybridization kit (part number: 5188–5308) in Agilent's Sure Hybchambers at 65°C for 24 h. The hybridized slides were washed using Agilent aCGH wash buffers (Part No: 5188–5226) and scanned using Agilent microarray scanner G2505C at three micron resolution.

FEATURE EXTRACTION AND MICROARRAY DATA ANALYSIS

Data extraction from images was done using Feature Extraction Software version 10.7 of Agilent. Feature extracted raw data was analyzed using Agilent Genomic Workbench Lite Edition 6.5 (ChIPon-Chip application). Normalization of the data was done using Lowess (locally weighted scatter-plot smoothing) normalization method [Zahurak et al., 2007]. Significant genes and regions enriched were identified using Peak Detection Whitehead Per-Array Neighborhood model algorithm by Agilent ChIP analytics software. This method uses the distribution of all probes on each array to compute robust regions of increased probe signal (termed "peaks"). The model samples every probe and its immediate upstream or downstream neighboring probes to identify a robust estimate of the location of bound protein significantly enriched. Derived P values were used to calculate P (Xbar) value, the average P value for the central probe and its neighbors for enriched gene. The probes for which the p(xbar) was more than or equal to 0.055 were identified as enriched for respective histone modifications. Fold values were calculated as the log base two ratio of normalized IP signal to normalized WCE signal for respective genes [Srivastava et al., 2013]. Function and pathway analysis of enriched genes was analyzed using PANTHER classification system (http://www.pantherdb.org). The ChIP-on-Chip array data reported here have been deposited to NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus [GEO Accession number: GSE49316].

VALIDATION OF CHIP-ON-CHIP DATA BY qPCR EXPERIMENT

For validation purpose the total RNA from sperm samples of each of the bulls (five HF and five SF) were extracted with TRIzol RNA isolation reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol with minor modifications. Briefly, the cryopreserved semen samples $(6-7 \times 10^7 \text{ sperm})$ were thawed, washed twice in 12 ml of PBS at 4000 rpm for 5 min, then resuspended in a somatic cell lysis buffer containing 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100 and 0.1% (w/v) SDS [Ostermeier et al., 2002; Goodrich et al., 2007] for 10 min at 4°C. The TRIzol reagent was heated at 65°C and the pelleted samples after washing were incubated in it for 30 min at 65°C to completely disintegrate the membranes. Subsequently, the solution was passed three times through a 30 G needle and vortexed vigorously for 30s and 200 µl of chloroform was added to it. The samples were homogenized by vortexing for 30s and incubated for 5 min at room temperature followed by a centrifugation at 12,000 gfor 15 min at 4°C. The upper aqueous phase was collected and RNA was precipitated using 70% (v/v) ethanol. Finally, RNA samples were dissolved in 10 µl DEPC treated water and a heating step for 15 min at 58°C was performed. For cDNA synthesis 100 ng of total RNA was converted to cDNA using Revert Aid First strand cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas, Cat#K1621) following manufacturers' protocol. For transcript abundance analysis in mature sperm, five genes viz. PRDM14, SOX4, TBX15, C-MYC, and PRM2 were selected for qPCR experiment based on their differential histone modification status and involvement in sperm function. GAPDH amplification was used for normalization of gPCR data. The primer sequences have been given in Table II. qPCR was carried out on Mx3005P (Stratagene, Santa Clara, CA) using SYBR green master mix (Maxima, Fermentas, UAB, Lithuania). A universal thermal condition was used for amplification of all genes, that is, initial denaturing of 10 min at 95°C, followed by 45 PCR cycles (denaturing at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 52-53°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 30 s).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Differences among bulls for percentage of embryonic stages and gene expression were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and expressed as mean \pm SEM. Statistical tests were deemed significant at *P* < 0.05. All statistical procedures related to signal peak detection in microarray hybridization are described in the material method section of microarray data analysis.

RESULT

IVF RESULTS FOR BULLS UNDER STUDY

The cryopreserved semen samples from all ten bulls in this study (selected based on conception rate) were subjected to IVF to cross check their differential fertility status. Results of the IVF are presented in Table III. Based on their consistency in cleavage and blastocyst development rates, the sperm samples from three bulls each of HF and LF groups viz. HF2, HF3, and HF5 in HF group and bulls SF1, SF4, and SF5 in SF group were finally selected for ChIP-on-Chip experiments.

IDENTIFICATION OF DIFFERENTIALLY HISTONE MODIFIED GENES IN HIGH AND SUB FERTILE BUFFALO BULLS

Supporting Table I describe the list of differentially enriched genes for both H3K4me2 and H3K27me3 modified histones in sperm DNA

TABLE III. IVF Resul	ts for the Five	High and Five	Sub-Fertile	Bulls Selected	for the Study
IIIDDD IIII III IICOU	to for the fire	. mgn and inc	ous rente	Danio Derected	for the beau

Group	Bull #	# oocytes	Cleavage %	Blastocyst %
High Fertile	HF1	218	$69.53(\pm 0.94)^{a}$	$21.50(\pm 0.35)^{ m b}$
	HF2	211	$62.00(\pm 4.24)^{\mathrm{a}}$	$37.50(\pm 1.06)^{a}$
	HF3	179	$66.67(\pm 1.95)^{a}$	$36.33(\pm 0.51)^{a}$
	HF4	167	$65.20(\pm 0.90)^{a}$	$24.40(\pm 1.20)^{b}$
	HF5	152	$66.20(\pm 1.00)^{a}$	$33.32(\pm 2.02)^{a}$
Sub- Fertile	SF1	164	$56.54(\pm\ 0.66)^{ m b}$	$16.90(\pm 0.90)^{c}$
	SF2	213	59.00(\pm 1.67 $^{ m b}$	$20.00(\pm 0.87)^{ m d}$
	SF3	153	$63.16(\pm 1.16)^{a}$	$21.17(\pm 0.97)^{d}$
	SF4	204	$54.05(\pm 0.95)^{ m b}$	$18.00(\pm 1.67)^{c}$
	SF5	197	$53.55(\pm 1.65)^{ m b}$	18.15(± 1.34) ^c

Bull numbers HF2/3/5 and SF1/4/5 were selected for ChIP experiments. Different superscript in cleavage% and blastocyst% columns indicate significant (P < 0.05) difference within the column. HF, High Fertile; SF, Sub-Fertile. IVF experiments for each bull have been repeated four times.

samples of buffalo bulls belonging to the HF and SF groups. Figure 2 depicts the hybridization maps from ChIP-on-chip experiments for respective bulls. Significant genes enriched were identified using peak detection algorithm (event detection) as described in materials and methods. All the probes belonging to a single gene, based on its

annotation, was used to calculate their enrichment level for respective histone modifications and then those genes were identified having significant enrichment difference in HF and SF bulls. For selection of differentially enriched genes between the two groups, the genes having enrichment level consistent for all the bulls





in respective groups of HF and SF were filtered. Based on this, 84 unique genes for H3K4me2 and 80 for H3K27me3 were identified which exhibited a significant (P < 0.01) difference between HF and SF groups. The identified genes were annotated with reference to bovine genome and then classified on the basis of gene ontology classification.

FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENTIALLY ENRICHED GENES

The functional annotations of differentially enriched genes (84 genes for H3K4me2 and 80 genes for H3K27me3) are shown in Figure 3. As

per their gene ontology classification the identified genes were classified under three categories viz. biological process, molecular function and cellular component. Functional analysis revealed that by far majority of the differentially enriched genes were involved in molecular binding (25–32%), cell communication (6–25%), cellular process (12–14%), and catalytic activities (7–18%) for both H3K4me2 and H3K27me3 kind of modifications. In biological process group majority of the differentially H3K4me2 enriched genes were involved in metabolic process (25%), transport (14%) and development process (13%) while H3K27me3 enriched genes were mostly involved in cell



communication (25%) and cellular process (14%). The most important molecular functions influenced by differential H3K4me2 enrichment were molecular binding (32%), transcription regulation (20%) and catalysis (18%) while in H3K27me3 group most important molecular functions were translation (33%), binding (25%) and receptor (16%). In cellular components group, most of the genes found enriched with H3K4me2 modification belonged to intracellular region (80%) while in H3K27me3 group most important component influenced with differential enrichment was protein complex (57%). Other important functions in which the differentially enriched genes were found involved were reproduction, receptor activity, enzyme regulation, and apoptosis.

DECIPHERING GENES RELATED TO SPERM FUNCTION AND EMBRYONIC DEVELOPMENT

The differentially enriched genes in both H3K4me2 and H3K27me3 experiments were further searched for their potential involvement in sperm function and embryogenesis. These differentially enriched genes in both the lists were found having crucial roles in germ cell development, fertilization and embryogenesis. The genes identified in the H3K4me2 list viz. *CDC45*, *CCT5*, *DMC1*, *MLH1*, *PAX3*, *PRDM14*, *SOX4*, *SOX14*, *TBX15*, and *MEG3* were found to have important roles in sperm cell development, different functional attributes of sperm and embryogenesis (Table IV). Also in H3K27me3 group *CDKN2C*, *FANCL*, *GFRA1*, *LHX3*, *RPL3*, *SIX6*, *SOX14*, *SOX4*, and *FOXA1* genes were found to be involved in sperm function and embryonic development (Table V).

TRANSCRIPT ABUNDANCE OF SELECTED GENES

Interpretations from the ChIP-on-Chip experiments were validated by amplification of transcripts of five genes found differentially modified in HF vs. SF bulls and having role in sperm function and embryonic development. The presence of *PRDM14*, *SOX4*, and *C-MYC* transcripts

were found to be present in mature sperm while the transcripts of *TBX15* and *PRM2* were not detectable (Fig. 4). There was a significant (P < 0.05) difference in the abundance of *SOX4* in HF vs. SF bulls.

DISCUSSION

The differential physiologic measurements of sperm function like morphology, motility, membrane integrity, etc. often do not explain the underlying cause of male infertility [Moldenhauer et al., 2003]. The current study was undertaken to explore the whole genome histone modification differences between HF and SF buffalo bulls with the expectation that it would generate some clues about the molecular reasons for differential sperm fertilizing ability and hence the bull fertility. In view of the fact that the spermatogenesis events goes through a very dynamic chromatin modulation process [Carrell and Hammoud, 2010] we considered that the ChIP-on-chip assessment of mature sperm for the selected histone modifications may provide a meaningful reflection of the fidelity of the past events during spermatogenesis, eventually explaining the overall fertility status of bulls. The study focused on two kinds of histone modifications viz. H3K4me2 and H3K27me3 as they represent the activating and repressing kind of histone modifications, respectively. Earlier evidences support that human sperm show strong enrichments of both of these kinds of modified histones at regulatory regions of genes related to embryonic development [Hammoud et al., 2009; Brykczynska et al., 2010]. H3K4me2 has been found enriched at the promoters of developmentally important genes, whereas trimethylated H3K27 (H3K27me3) is significantly enriched at promoters that are repressed in early embryos but get expressed during blastocyst development and implantation, including many bivalent (H3K4me3/H3K27me3) promoters which are important for embryonic stem cell development [Hammoud et al., 2009].

TABLE IV. List of Differentially H3K4me2 Enriched Genes in Spermatozoa Having Important Roles in Spermatogenesis, Sperm Function and Embryogenesis

Gene	Description	P[Xbar] value	Fertility group	Function
CDC45	Cell division cycle 45	0.0011	Enriched in HF bulls	Required for initiation of chromosomal DNA replication [Owens et al., 1997]
DMC1	Disrupted Meiotic CDNA1	0.0092		Participate in meiotic recombination
MLH1	mutL homolog 1	0.0095		MLH1 mutations as source of human infertility [Hoffmann et al., 2003]
CCT5	Chaperonin containing TCP1, subunit 5	0.0168		Play a role in the folding of actin and tubulin [Soue's et al., 2003]
PAX3	Paired box 3	0.0103		Transcription factors, plays essential roles during embryogenesis [Underhill, 2000]
PRDM14	PR domain containing 14	0.0028		Possess histone methyltransferase activity. Play an essential role in germ cell development [Yamaji et al., 2008; Ying et al., 2008]
SOX4	SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 4)	0.0028		Transcription factors involved in the regulation of embryonic development and in the determination of the cell fate [Kamachi et al., 2000]
SOX14	SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 14	0.0180		Intronless gene, encodes a member of transcription factors, involved in the regulation of embryonic development and in the determination of the cell fate [Kamachi et al., 2000]
TBX15	T-box protein 15	0.0187		Regulate a variety of developmental processes [Naiche et al., 2005]
MEG3	Maternally expressed 3	0.0073	Enriched in SF bulls	Maternally expressed imprinted gene, abnormal spermatogenesis is associated with defective MEG3 methylation [Hammoud et al., 2009]

TABLE V. List of Differentially H3K27me3 Enriched Genes in Spermatozoa Having Important Roles in Spermatogenesis, Sperm Function, and
Embryogenesis

Gene	Description	P[Xbar] value	Fertility group	Function
CDKN2C	Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2 C (p18, inhibits CDK4)	0.0061	Enriched in HF bulls	Regulation of spermatogenesis [Zindy et al., 2001]
FANCL	Fanconi anemia, complementation group L	0.0233		Required for proper primordial germ cell proliferation in the embryonic stage [Cheng et al., 2000]
GFRA1	GDNF family receptor alpha 1	0.0034		Silencing in mouse spermatogonial stem cells results in their differentiation [He et al., 2007]
LHX3	LIM homeobox 3	0.0154		Mutations causes growth, fertility, and metabolic problems [Mullen et al., 2012]
RPL3	Ribosomal protein L3	0.0030		Required for embryonic and larval viability, fertility [Mitrovich et al., 2000]
SIX6	SIX homeobox 6	0.0119		Regulation of GnRH expression and hypothalamic control of fertility [Larder et al., 2011]
SOX14	SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 14	0.0078		Involved in the regulation of embryonic development and in the determination of the cell fate [Kamachi et al., 2000]
SOX4	SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 4	0.0007		Involved in the regulation of embryonic development and in the determination of the cell fate [Kamachi et al., 2000]
FOXA1	Forkhead box A1	0.0119	Enriched in SF bulls	Transcription factor involved in development process, establishment of tissue-specific gene expression [Lupien et al., 2008]

The microarray data analysis revealed 84 genes in H3K4me2 and 80 genes for H3K27me3 group as differentially enriched in sperm from HF and SF buffalo bulls (Supporting Table S1). Out of these genes ten genes in H3K4me2 and nine genes in H3K27me3 lists have been found to be involved in sperm function and embryogenesis (Table IV & V).

Table IV include cell cycle regulatory genes like *CDC45*, *DMC1*, and *MLH1* which have been reported to be involved in chromosomal DNA replication, meiotic recombination etc. [Owens et al., 1997; Hoffmann et al., 2003]. The *MLH1* has at least three meiotic functions viz. heteroduplex repair, crossing over and chromosome segregation and



Fig. 4. A: Transcript abundance of genes in sperm RNA from high and sub-fertile bulls. Different superscript within different gene group indicate significant difference (P < 0.05). B: Amplified products of PRM1, SOX4 (SOX), C-MYC (CM), and PRDM14 (PRD) from sperm cDNA. PRM1 was amplified as a positive control and CD4 and CD45 were amplified as negative control for somatic cell contamination.

mutations in this gene have been associated with human infertility [Hoffmann et al., 2003]. In our ChIP-on-Chip experiment, all these genes were found to be H3K4me2 enriched in sperm of HF bulls. Considering our results and the fact that H3K4me2 histone modifications impact transcriptional activation of the associated genes, the possible role of CDC45, DMC1, and MLH1 can be implicated for imparting better fertilizing ability to the sperm of HF bulls. The role of CCT5 in folding of tubulin and actin proteins, required for sperm tail development has been described [Soue's et al., 2003]. We have detected this gene to be H3K4me2 enriched in HF bulls. Since H3K4me2 modification represents a histone activation process and CCT5 is reportedly involved in sperm motility, our observations further consolidates its potential role in imparting better motility to the sperm of HF bulls. Similarly, we observed MEG3 lacking H3K4me2 enrichment in HF bulls. A defective MEG3 (also known as GTL2) has been associated with abnormal spermatogenesis [Hammoud et al., 2009]. The spermatozoa have been reported to carry both activating and repressing histones with bivalent modification [Takada et al., 2000; da Rocha et al., 2008; Glazov et al., 2008]. Notably, the promoter of MEG3 lacks DNA methylation in sperm, but re-acquires it during embryogenesis. Also, it is a maternally expressed gene. In our experiment this gene was found lacking in H3K4me2 enrichment in HF bulls which favors the logic of its transcriptional inactivity in HF bulls for normal spermatogenesis. The third set of genes found H3K4me2 enriched in HF bulls consisted of the genes involved in embryonic and fetal development viz. PAX3, PRDM14, SOX4, SOX14, and TBX15. PAX3 is a member of the paired box (PAX) family of transcription factors which plays essential role during embryogenesis [Underhill, 2000]. Mutation-deletion studies in Drosophila indicate that the N-terminal region of PAX3 is involved in determining male fertility [Xue et al., 2001]. PRDM14 has been reported to possess histone methyltransferase activity and is required for the maintenance of embryonic stem cell identity and the reacquisition of pluripotency in somatic cells [Yamaji et al., 2008]. They have also been shown to play essential role in germ cell development [Ying et al., 2008]. SOX4 and SOX14 are members of the SRY-related HMG-box family of transcription factors, involved in the regulation of embryonic development and in the determination of the cell lineage [Kamachi et al., 2000]. TBX15 is required in a diverse array of developmental processes in post-implantation embryo including formation and patterning of the mesoderm and organogenesis [Naiche et al., 2005]. The histone modification status of these genes observed in HF bulls of present study (Table IV) hint them as possible candidates to be explored for explaining the fertility status of bulls.

The ChIP-on-Chip data for H3K27me3 also identified important genes related to spermatogenesis, fertility and embryonic development (Table V). We observed that the gene *CDKN2C* significantly more enriched for H3K27me3 in the sperm of HF bulls. Earlier knockout studies in mice have indicated that, for effective regulation of spermatogenesis, this gene has to remain suppressed to ensure the mitotic exit and the normal maturation of spermatocytes [Zindy et al., 2001]. Likewise, *FANCL* and *GFRA1* were also found H3K27me3 enriched in mature sperm of HF bulls supporting their role in primordial germ cell proliferation and differentiation during early phase of spermatogenesis [Cheng et al., 2000; He et al., 2007].

Retained histones and their modified forms in sperm genome have been shown to be significantly enriched at promoters of developmentally important genes [Hammoud et al., 2009]. Consolidating their role in embryonic development our results revealed higher enrichment for both H3K4me2 and H3K27me3 modifications of *SOX4* and *SOX14* in sperm of HF bulls [Kamachi et al., 2000]. *FOXA1*, found enriched with H3K27me3 in SF bulls in the present experiment, is a transcription factor involved in embryonic development and establishment of tissue-specific gene expression [Lupien et al., 2008]. Supporting our results earlier reports have also evidenced the role of *LHX3*, *RPL3*, and *SIX6* in fertility through pituitary and hypothalamic pathways [Mitrovich and Anderson, 2000; Larder et al., 2011; Mullen et al., 2012].

Taking leads from our ChIP-on-chip results and considering the role of differentially modified genes in sperm function, the *PRDM14*, *C-MYC*, *TBX-15*, *PRM2*, and *SOX4* genes were selected to verify whether the histone modifications result in their differential transcript abundance in mature sperm of HF and SF buffalo bulls. Results are explained in Figure 4. While we could detect the presence of *PRDM14*, *C-MYC*, and *SOX4* transcripts in mature sperm from all the bulls under study, the *PRM2* and *TBX15* transcripts were undetectable. The significant (P < 0.05) difference of *SOX4* transcript abundance observed in HF vs. SF bulls was in support of its role in embryonic development [Kamachi et al., 2000]. However, considering the fact that the mature sperm as such represents a population of transcriptionally inert cells [Kierszenbaum and Tres, 1975], conclusive interpretation out of this set of experiment is unwarranted.

The findings of the current study suggest that differential methylation rates of retained histones in the mature sperm of buffalo bulls could be of potential significance for their fertility performance. The growing field of sperm epigenome carriage vis-à-vis fertilizing ability of spermatozoa will benefit our understanding of the fertility problems of breeding bulls. Considering the importance of farm animal species as model organisms and similarities of their reproduction physiology, the knowledge generated in the present work will possibly benefit investigations in the context of human infertility also. To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to employ the high throughput microarray technology for studying the epigenomic status of buffalo sperm in relation to bull fertility.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The financial aid under NAE project of ICAR to T.K.D. is thankfully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

Balhorn R, Brewer L, Corzett M. 2000. DNA condensation by protamine and arginine-rich peptides: Analysis of toroid stability using single DNA molecules. Mol Reprod Dev 56:230–234.

Bannister AJ, Kouzarides T. 2011. Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. Cell Res 21:381–395.

Bench GS, Friz AM, Corzett MH, Morse DH, Balhorn R. 1996. DNA and total protamine masses in individual sperm from fertile mammalian subjects. Cytometry 23:263–271.

Bernstein BE, Mikkelsen TS, Xie X, Kamal M, Huebert DJ, Cuff J, Fry B, Meissner A, Wernig M, Plath K, Jaenisch R, Wagschal A, Feil R, Schreiber SL, Lander ESI. 2006. A bivalent chromatin structure marks key developmental genes in embryonic stem cells. Cell 125:315–326.

Brykczynska U, Hisano M, Erkek S, Ramos L, Oakeley EJ, Roloff TC, Beisel C, Schubeler D, Stadler MB, Peters AH. 2010. Repressive and active histone methylation mark distinct promoters in human and mouse spermatozoa. Nat Struct Mol Biol 17:679–687.

Carrell DT, Hammoud SS. 2010. The human sperm epigenome and its potential role in embryonic development. Mol Hum Reprod 16:37–47.

Cheng NC, Van de Vrugt HJ, Van der Valk MA, Oostra AB, Krimpenfort P, de Vries Y, Joenje H, Berns A, Arwert F. 2000. Mice with a targeted disruption of the Fanconi anemia homolog Fanca. Hum Mol Genet 9:1805–1811.

Ferlin A, Raicu F, Gatta V, Zuccarello D, Palka G, Foresta C. 2007. Male infertility: Role of genetic background. Reprod Biomed Online 14:734–745.

Feugang JM, Kaya A, Page GP, Chen L, Mehta T, Hirani K, Nazareth L, Topper E, Gibbs R, Memili E. 2009. Two-stage genome-wide association study identifies integrin beta 5 as having potential role in bull fertility. BMC Genomics 10:176.

Feugang JM, Rodriguez-Osorio N, Kaya A, Wang H, Page G, Ostermeier GC, Topper EK, Memili E. 2010. Transcriptome analysis of bull spermatozoa: Implications for male fertility. Reprod Biomed Online 21:312–324.

Gatewood JM, Cook GR, Balhorn R, Schmid CW, Bradbury EM. 1990. Isolation of four core histones from human sperm chromatin representing a minor subset of somatic histones. J Biol Chem 265:20662–20666.

Glazov EA, McWilliam S, Barris WC, Dalrymple BP. 2008. Origin, evolution, and biological role of miRNA cluster in DLK-DI03 genomic region in placental mammals. Mol Biol Evol 25:939–948.

Goodrich R, Johnson G, Krawetz SA. 2007. The preparation of human spermatozoal RNA for clinical analysis. Arch Androl 53:161–167.

Hammoud SS, Nix DA, Zhang H, Purwar J, Carrell DT, Cairns BR. 2009. Distinctive chromatin in human sperm packages genes for embryo development. Nature 460:473–478.

He Z, Jiang J, Hofmann MC, Dym M. 2007. Gfra1 silencing in mouse spermatogonial stem cells results in their differentiation via the inactivation of RET tyrosine kinase1. Biol Reprod 77:723–733.

Hoffmann ER, Shcherbakova PV, Kunkel TA, Borts RH. 2003. MLH1 mutations differentially affect meiotic functions in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 163:515–526.

Kamachi Y, Uchikawa M, Kondoh H. 2000. Pairing SOX off: With partners in the regulation of embryonic development. Trends Genet 16:182–187.

Kierszenbaum AL, Tres LL. 1975. Structural and transcriptional features of the mouse spermatid genome. J Cell Biol 65:258–270.

Kimmins S, Sassone-Corsi P. 2005. Chromatin remodelling and epigenetic features of germ cells. Nature 434:583–589.

Krausz C, Giachini C. 2007. Genetic risk factors in male infertility. Arch Androl 53:125–133.

Larder R, Clark DD, Miller NL, Mellon PL. 2011. Hypothalamic dysregulation and infertility in mice lacking the homeodomain protein Six6. J Neurosci 31:426–438.

Lee JS, Smith E, Shilatifard A. 2010. The language of histone crosstalk. Cell 142:682–685.

Lupien M, Eeckhoute J, Meyer CA, Wang Q, Zhang Y, Li W, Carroll JS, Liu XS, Brown M. 2008. FoxA1 translates epigenetic signatures into enhancer-driven lineage-specific transcription. Cell 132:958–970.

Mahmoud KGM, Nawito MF. 2012. Molecular markers for fertility in farm animals. Iran J Appl Anim Sci 2:203–222.

Miller D, Ostermeier GC. 2006. Towards a better understanding of RNA carriage by ejaculate spermatozoa. Hum Reprod Update 12:757–767.

Miller D, Ostermeier GC, Krawetz SA. 2005. The controversy, potential and roles of spermatozoal RNA. Trends Mol Med 11:156–163.

Miller D, Brinkworth M, Iles D. 2010. Paternal DNA packaging in spermatozoa: More than the sum of its parts? DNA, histones, protamines and epigenetics. Reproduction 139:287–301.

Mitrovich QM, Anderson P. 2000. Unproductively spliced ribosomal protein mRNAs are natural targets of mRNA surveillance in C. elegans. Genes Dev 14:2173–2184.

Moldenhauer JS, Ostermeier GC, Johnson A, Diamond MP, Krawetz SA. 2003. Diagnosing male factor infertility using microarrays. J Androl 24:783–789.

Mullen RD, Park S, Rhodes SJ. 2012. A distal modular enhancer complex acts to control pituitary- and nervous system-specific expression of the LHX3 regulatory gene. Mol Endocrinol 26:308–319.

Naiche LA, Harrelson Z, Kelly RG, Papaioannou VE. 2005. T-box genes in vertebrate development. Annu Rev Genet 39:219–239.

Ostermeier GC, Dix DJ, Miller D, Khatri P, Krawetz SA. 2002. Spermatozoal RNA profiles of normal fertile men. Lancet 360:772–777.

Owens JC, Detweiler CS, Li JJ. 1997. CDC45 is required in conjunction with CDC7/DBF4 to trigger the initiation of DNA replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci 94:12521–12526.

Peddinti D, Nanduri B, Kaya A, Feugang JM, Burgess SC, Memili E. 2008. Comprehensive proteomic analysis of bovine spermatozoa of varying fertility rates and identification of biomarkers associated with fertility. BMC Syst Biol 2:19.

Petty E, Pillus L. 2013. Balancing chromatin remodeling and histone modifications in transcription. Trends Genet 29:621–629.

Reik W, Dean W, Walter J. 2001. Epigenetic reprogramming in mammalian development. Science 293:1089–1093.

Soue's S, Kann ML, Fouquet JP, Melki R. 2003. The cytosolic chaperonin CCT associates to cytoplasmic microtubular structures during mammalian spermiogenesis and to heterochromatin in germline and somatic cells. Exp Cell Res 288:363–373.

Spano M, Bonde JP, Hjollund HI, Kolstad HA, Cordelli E, Leter G. 2000. Sperm chromatin damage impairs human fertility. The Danish First Pregnancy Planner Study Team. Fertil Steril 73:43–50.

Srivastava S, Puri D, Garapati HS, Dhawan J, Mishra RK. 2013. Vertebrate GAGA factor associated insulator elements demarcate homeotic genes in the HOX clusters. Epigenetics Chromatin 6:8.

Strahl BD, Allis CD. 2000. The language of covalent histone modifications. Nature 403:41–45.

Takada S, Tevendale M, Baker J, Georgiades P, Campbell E, Freeman T, Johnson MH, Paulsen M, Ferguson-Smith AC. 2000. Delta-like and Gtl2 are reciprocally expressed, differentially methylated linked imprinted genes on mouse chromosome 12. Curr Biol 10:1135–1138.

Tanphaichitr N, Sobhon P, Taluppeth N, Chalermisarachai P. 1978. Basic nuclear proteins in testicular cells and ejaculated spermatozoa in man. Exp Cell Res 117:347–356.

Underhill DA. 2000. Genetic and biochemical diversity in the Pax gene family. Biochem Cell Biol 78:629–638.

Verma A, Kumar P, Rajput S, Roy B, De S, Datta TK. 2012. Embryonic genome activation events in buffalo (Bubalusbubalis) preimplantation embryos. Mol Reprod Dev 79:321–328.

Xue L, Li X, Noll M. 2001. Multiple protein functions of Paired in Drosophila development and their conservation in the Gooseberry and Pax3 homologs. Development 128:395–405.

Yamaji M, Seki Y, Kurimoto K, Yabuta Y, Yuasa M, Shigeta M, Yamanaka K, Ohinata Y, Saitou M. 2008. Critical function of Prdm14 for the establishment of the germ cell lineage in mice. Nat Genet 40:1016–1022.

Ying QL, Wray J, Nichols J, Batlle-Morera L, Doble B, Woodgett J, Cohen P, Smith A. 2008. The ground state of embryonic stem cell self-renewal. Nature 453:519–523.

Zahurak M, Parmigiani G, Yu W, Scharpf RB, Berman D, Schaeffer E, Shabbeer S, Cope L. 2007. Pre-processing Agilent microarray data. BMC Bioinformatics 8:142.

Zindy F, den Besten W, Chen B, Rehg JE, Latres E, Barbacid M, Pollard JW, Sherr CJ, Cohen PE, Roussel MF. 2001. Control of spermatogenesis in mice by the cyclin D-dependent kinase inhibitors p18(Ink4c) and p19(Ink4d). Mol Cell Biol 21:3244–3255.

da Rocha ST, Edwards CA, Ito M, Ogata T, Ferguson-Smith AC. 2008. Genomic imprinting at the mammalian Dlk1-Dio3 domain. Trends Genet 24:306–316.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.